

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/882;283	06/14/2001	Xiaopeng Chen	020945-001510US	020945-001510US 7871	
20350	7590 03/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			TORRES, J	TORRES, JOSEPH D	
	EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	SCO, CA 94111-3834	<b>l</b>	2133		
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/882,283	CHEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph D. Torres	2133		

	Joseph D. Torres	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The</li> </ol>	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of the reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the selection in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, or the final rejection of the final rejection.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperox was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 42 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period.</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 201)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	2	•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	- //.		nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☐ Other:			
		Joseph D. Torres, F Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2133	PhD

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050303

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Changes have been made to claim language in newly proposed claims that require further consideration and/or search..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Changes have been made to claim language in newly proposed claims that require further consideration and/or search..